Response to Berry’s Article

This is essay is regarding Berry’s feelings toward technology. We don’t agree with Berry’s argument; we also feel his article was sexist. Our reasons for feeling this are the consequences, cost, and content. Let us tell you why we feel this way.

First, we’ll start with consequences. One thing good that’s come from the consequences of technology is the fact it helps save your life in desperate times of need. If you are ever in trouble you can pick up your cellular device and call 911. Another good thing that has come is you’re prepared for the days ahead. We can look on computers to see the weather and know how to dress or plan our days. Berry, as a farmer, could look on the internet at cattle prices and stock markets. A bad consequence is when we use these computers, especially at night; we waste electricity which in turns wastes our nonrenewable resources such as coal. The strip mining that occurs is also detrimental to our environment.

Next, we will talk about cost. When you use your computers to do writing, you save a lot of money on paper and save trees which clean our air. Berry states in his article, and I quote, “What would a computer cost me? More money, for one thing, than I can afford...” My team and I believe that Berry would spend more money on his lifetime supply of paper and pencils to write than he would to buy a computer and use it for his writing. Berry also in some ways infers that a computer could get in the way of his relationship with his wife, therefore “costing” him his marriage. It would only cost him his marriage if he allowed it to. If he’s that terrified of something this silly getting in the way of his marriage, then he must not believe he has enough strength to sustain his own relationship.

Lastly, we’ll go on to discuss the content. What is content? Content is the things that are held or included in something. Berry states that computers should be repairable by a person of ordinary intelligence, that he or she has the necessary tools. It seems as if Berry is almost scared; he wouldn’t have enough intelligence to repair or install these computers. Why should the new technology be at least as small as what it replaces? What’s the point in that? As long as it’s a reasonable size in your own mindset, it shouldn’t matter the size if it helps us out in the long run.

Clearly we have stated our opinions on this matter. We strongly disagree with Berry’s article. We talked about the consequences, cost and content. Everybody has their own opinions on this subject, but we believe it’s helped people in this world. We have given a counter claim regarding what the other party might believe dealing with the consequences.